

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/697,357	LAKSHMANAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Nghia M. Doan	2825	

All Participants:**Status of Application:** Non-final(1) Nghia M. Doan.

(3) _____

(2) Peter P. Scott (Reg. 33,279).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 6 June 2006**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

10 and 11

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On 06/01/2006, Mr. Peter Scott is informed to correct the figure 3 has a duplicated information in steps [314 and 316], in response Mr Peter Scott agreed to submit a proposed drawing correction. June 06, 2006-In responsive to the examiner indication that claims 10, 11 have minor informalties, Applicant representative authorizes the Examiner to amend the claims as given in the attached examiner's amendment .